

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/586,692	06/01/2000	Martin P. Debreczeny	LIFE-005(Client # LFS-95)	5779
75	90 08/13/2002			
Bret Field Bozicevic Field & Francis LLP 200 Middlefield Road Suite 200			EXAMINER	
			TURNER, SAMUEL A	
Menlo Park, CA	4 94025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	AC_				
71	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/586,692	Debreczeny et al				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel A. Turner	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	April 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the drawing and the drawing at the dra						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, .				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	oved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ariinor.					
_	n priority under 25 LLS C & 110/a	a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
·- <u>-</u>	s have been received					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Sérial Number: 09/586,692

Art Unit: 2877

Office Action

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 12, 13, and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mattson et al(4,999,010). See figure 1.

Claims 1-13, and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Griffiths et al(Chapter 8-AO). See figures 8.9-8.15.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor Sérial Number: ^{09/}586,692 Art Unit: 2877

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffiths et al(Chapter 8-AO).

Griffiths et al teach a plurality of different dual-beam Fourier transform spectrometers which includes both one and two sources, interferometers positioned before and after the sample and reference, and one or two detector arrangements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to inspect any type of material sample and use the reference which will provide the best null in relation to the specific sample tested. The specific sample/reference would not have any effect on the apparatus or the method in which the apparatus is used.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 29 April 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the rejection of claims 1-10, 12, 13, and 21-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) to Mattson et al(4,999,010); Mattson is concerned with the high absorption of water and carbon dioxide in the air effecting the spectrometer output. Further, due to absorption by the sample, the reference signal can have a high intensity Therefor a dual-beam spectrometer is taught which simultaneously

Serial Number: ^{09/}586,692 Art Unit: 2877

measures both the test and reference samples and optically subtracts, or nulls, the output resulting in the phase cancellation of the absorptions common to both paths. Because a dual-beam spectrometer is used, the reference is chosen such that it has same relative intensity as the test beam and a known spectrum.

The Mattson reference is drawn to a sample and is not limited to samples of high-transmissivity. With further regard to claims 21-27; these claims do not even include a limitation to a low-transmissivity sample and meet the means-plusfunction limitations found therein.

With regard to the rejection of claims 1-13, and 21-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) to Griffiths et al(Chapter 8-AO); Griffiths contemplates measuring samples with a absorptions of $[1-\tau(v)]$ of 0.01% or less. Clearly samples with a higher absorption will have a greater SNR thus be easier to detect.

With regard to the rejection of claims 14-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) to Griffiths et al(Chapter 8-AO); clearly so long as the sample/reference produce beams of the about same intensity(page 300, lines 1-4), and the reference is chosen with a known spectrum for comparison, any sample of high or low transmissivity can be measured.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

Serial Number: 09/586,692 Art Unit: 2877

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Turner those telephone number is **(703) 308-4803**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font, can be reached on (703) 308-4881.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722. The faxing of papers related to this application must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (15 November 1989). The Group receptionist telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Any inquiry of a technical nature regarding reissues, petitions, and terminal disclaimers should be directed to Ed Glick whose telephone number is (703) 308-4858, Hien Phan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7502, or Ed Westin whose telephone number is (703) 308-4823.

Any other inquiry of a technical nature, and all inquiries of a general nature including those relating to the status of this application or any patent term adjustment should be directed to TC2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Samuel A. Turner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

SAT August 8, 2002